

A preliminary report on the adequacy of protection provided to species and benthic habitats in the east coast otter trawl fishery by the current system of closures.

April 2008



**Rob Coles
Alana Grech
Kara Dew
Brad Zeller
Len McKenzie**

A preliminary report on the adequacy of protection provided to species and benthic habitats in the east coast otter trawl fishery by the current system of closures.

April 2008

**Rob Coles
Alana Grech
Kara Dew
Brad Zeller
Len McKenzie**

Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries
Queensland
PR08–3576

This document may be cited as:

Coles, R., Grech, A., Dew, K., Zeller, B. and McKenzie, L. (2008). A preliminary report on the adequacy of protection provided to species and benthic habitats in the east coast otter trawl fishery by the current system of closures. Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Brisbane, Australia.

Acknowledgments:

The authors wish to acknowledge Neil Gribble, Anthony Roelofs, Andrew Thwaites and Olivia Whybird for advice and comments on the text and Lew Williams, Nadia Engstrom, Katherine Zahmel and Tu Nguyen for assistance with data provision

General disclaimer:

The Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries (DPI&F) seeks to maximise the economic potential of Queensland's primary industries on a sustainable basis.

This publication has been compiled by Fisheries, Assessment and Monitoring, Marine Ecology Group. While every care has been taken in preparing this publication, the State of Queensland accepts no responsibility for decisions or actions taken as a result of any data, information, statement or advice, expressed or implied, contained in this report.

© The State of Queensland, Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries 2008

Copyright protects this material. Except as permitted by the *Copyright Act 1968* (Cwlth), reproduction by any means (photocopying, electronic, mechanical, recording or otherwise), making available online, electronic transmission or other publication of this material is prohibited without the prior written permission of the Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Queensland.

Inquiries should be addressed to:

Intellectual Property and Commercialisation Unit
Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries
GPO Box 46
Brisbane Qld 4001

or

copyright@dpi.qld.gov.au

Telephone: +61 7 3404 6999

Contents

Contents	iii
List of maps	iii
Acronyms	iii
Summary	vi
Introduction	1
Data sets available for a review and preliminary report	2
Management of the fishery	3
Present closure types and description	3
Spatial closures	3
Major temporal closures	4
Other spatial and temporal closures	4
Effort trends in the fishery	5
Location and spatial extent of trawl effort	7
Spatial interaction between trawl effort, habitat and species of concern	10
Seagrass	10
Dugong	12
Sea turtles	13
Balmain bug catches	14
Mantis shrimp (<i>Squillaidea spp</i>) catches	14
Pinkies (threadfin bream – <i>Nemipterus</i>) catches	15
Pipefish catches	16
Seabed Biodiversity report	18
Discussion	19
Future work plans	22
Conclusions	22
References	23

List of maps

Map 1. State and Commonwealth Marine Parks, Fish Habitat Areas and port limits. New zones for the Great Sandy Marine Park are not included – see Map 5. Note: ECOTF = East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery.	25
Map 2. Spatial trawl closures in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) before Representative Areas Program (introduced July 2004).	26
Map 3. Spatial trawl closures in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) after Representative Areas Program (introduced July 2004).	27
Map 4. Spatial trawl closures in South East Queensland. Zones for the Great Sandy Marine Park are not included – see Map 5 for proposed zones. Note: ECOTF = East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery.	28
Map 5. Great Sandy Marine Park zones (implemented August 2006) (Environment Protection Agency, Queensland)	29
Map 6. Seasonal closures affecting the Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery.	30
Map 7. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2002 (Vessel Monitoring System Data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	31
Map 8. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2003 (Vessel Monitoring System Data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	32
Map 9. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2004 (Vessel Monitoring System Data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	33
Map 10. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2005 (Vessel Monitoring System Data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	34
Map 11. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2002 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	35
Map 12. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2003 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	36
Map 13. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2004 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	37
Map 14. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2005 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	38
Map 15. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2006 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	39
Map 16. Probability of deepwater (>15 m) seagrass in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	40
Map 17. Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) deepwater (> 15 m) seagrass habitats where trawling was permitted A. pre- (2002 Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data) and B. post- (2005 VMS data) Representative Area Program.	41
Map 18. Great Barrier Reef deepwater (> 15 m) seagrass habitats where trawling was conducted A. pre- (2002 Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data) and B. post- (2005 VMS data) Representative Area Program.	42
Map 19. Dugong habitats where trawling was conducted A. pre- (2002 Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data) and B. post- (2005 VMS data) Representative Area Program.	43
Map 20. Observed sea turtle catch-per-unit-effort (Robins 2002) where trawling was conducted A. pre- (2002 Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data) and B. post- (2005 VMS data) Representative Area Program.	44
Map 21. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for Balmain bugs A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels	

	of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	45
Map 22.	Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for mantis shrimp A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	46
Map 23.	Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for pinkies A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	47
Map 24.	Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for Pipefish (syngnathids) A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	48
Map 25.	Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for champagne lobsters A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.	49
Map 26.	Spatial Closure of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) before and after the Representative Areas Program (RAP).	50
Map 27.	Change in number of hours trawled (Vessel Monitoring System data) in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area 2002–2005.	51
Map 28.	Change in number of hours trawled (Vessel Monitoring System data) in South East Queensland 2002–2005.	52

Acronyms

CRC	Cooperative Research Centre
CFISH	Commercial Fisheries Information System, DPI&F
DPI&F	Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Queensland
ECOTF	East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery, Queensland
GBRWHA	Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area
RAP	Representative Areas Program
TED	Turtle Excluder Device
VMS	Vessel Monitoring System

Summary

There is a complex system of spatial closures in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) and since the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Representative Areas Program of 2004 there have been considerable reductions in available fishing area.

The Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery (ECOTF) effort in the GBRWHA is highly clumped and trends in distribution of trawl effort shown by the Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data suggest the location of the main fishing grounds where most fishing effort is expended have not changed markedly between 2002 and 2005.

Information now available (on seabed biodiversity, seagrass distribution, distribution of turtle and dugong populations and interactions with populations of other marine species of conservation interest), suggest the recent changes to the area available for trawling, reduction in effort, implementation of gear restrictions, and other fishing regulations have reduced the impact of trawling on marine habitats and marine ecosystems. While fishing effort remains in its present locations and at current levels, there does not seem to be a strong case for additional spatial closures in the GBRWHA.

The ECOTF to the south of the GBRWHA also operates on only a small fraction (less than 10% of 6 minute grid cells trawled greater than 5 hours per year) of the permitted area. Similar to the GBRWHA there are complex spatial and temporal closures in place and closures to protect life stages of target and non-target species susceptible to impacts from trawling. There are also specific closure arrangements in Moreton Bay and the Great Sandy Marine Park to protect juvenile prawns and to protect nesting sea turtles. It would be difficult to support a case for additional closures south of the GBRWHA based on the present information.

There are problems of scale and precision in overlaying the existing VMS and CFISH (commercial logbook) data sets on fishing location, and catch and effort with the spatial seabed and benthic biota research data that make these data sets difficult to compare.

At the scale of the data available, it is not possible to separate out locations where “hot spots” of regulated by-product species may occur on trawl grounds and to implement spatial closures at a fine scale. These species are widely distributed across the fishing ground.

Targeted, independent observations of trawl catch and bycatch composition and actual catch location by Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries onboard observers is likely to be the most feasible method of obtaining fine scale spatial data to improve our understanding of any risk to sustainability of non-target species in the fishery if that is required.

Introduction

The Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery (ECOTF) operates in coastal (tidal waters excluding estuaries) waters of Queensland east of 142°31.89'E between Cape York in the north and the New South Wales border in the south. The fishery targets mainly penaeid prawns and scallops with incidental catches of by-product species including bugs (*Ibacus* spp), pinkies (*Nemipterus* spp), champagne lobsters (barking crayfish) (*Linuparus trigonus*) and mantis shrimp (*Squillaidea* spp) (Hill 2006).

Vessels carry a variety of fishing gear using as many as four nets depending on the location and the species being targeted. The total amount of net is regulated by the *Fisheries (East Coast Trawl) Management Plan 1999*. Regardless of the configuration, all nets use an otter board to spread the nets and these are set so the spread of the net and the amount of contact it has with the bottom suit the fishing conditions.

While fishers avoid areas of “rough” ground (where there is complex bottom topography) to minimise damage to nets and set nets to skim across the bottom rather than “bogging” in bottom sediments, inevitably there is some interaction between nets and benthic marine structures. There has been extensive research on the effect of trawling on reef bottom types in tropical north Queensland (Pitcher *et al.* 2000) and the likelihood of otter trawlers interacting with seagrass meadows within the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) has been reported as part of the Cooperative Research Centre (CRC) and Marine and Tropical Sciences Research Facility programs (Coles *et al.* 2007).

The effects of otter trawling on benthic communities can be influenced or reduced by modifications to gear type and deployment, reductions in overall effort in the fishery, restrictions on areas open to trawling, reductions in the amount of time any one location is fished, and/or a combination of these factors. The distribution of effort and spatial and temporal restrictions is highly correlated and if poorly implemented can increase fishing effort in some locations at the expense of others as fishers respond to changing circumstances. Nevertheless limiting the location of fishing effort is a powerful tool when there is sufficient information on the type and complexity (biodiversity) of benthic communities warranting enhanced protection by spatial arrangements.

Two programs of the CRC Reef Research Centre, the deepwater seagrass mapping project and the Seabed Biodiversity Project have modelled, based on transect and point source data and GBRWHA wide biophysical data sets, probability gradients of benthic communities and more abundant individual taxa / species. This enables an estimation of the exposure of benthic communities and species (at least in the GBRWHA) to potential impacts from otter trawl nets. A research program to characterise seabed biodiversity is being planned for the area of the fishery south of the GBRWHA (J Kirkwood, DPI&F pers. comm.).

Recognising this advance in knowledge of the benthic communities of Queensland's east coast the Commonwealth Government as part of its assessment of the ecological sustainability of management arrangements for the ECOTF (under Parts 13 and 13a of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*) made a recommendation to the Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries (DPI&F) in 2004 as part of a Wildlife Trade Operation approval : *Initiate a review and provide a preliminary report on the adequacy of protection provided to species and benthic habitats in the east coast otter trawl fishery by the current system of closures within and outside the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park and whether additional closures are required outside the marine park*

This report addresses that requirement.

Data sets available for a review and preliminary report

The data sets can be grouped into five categories:

- 1. Spatial information on closures to trawling, changes in closures to trawling due to rezoning and temporal spatial closures to trawling:** The data are current to 2007 in a vector shapefile format and includes:
 - State and Commonwealth marine park locations (Map 1). Trawling is only permitted in “General Use” (light blue) zones.
 - Spatial closures to trawling in the GBRWHA before and after the 2004 Representative Areas Program (RAP) rezoning (Map 2 and 3). These maps demonstrate the significant increase in permanent spatial closures throughout the GBRWHA.
 - Areas permanently closed to trawling south of the GBRWHA (Map 4).
 - The Great Sandy Marine Park zoning plan (Map 5).
 - Seasonal closures implemented to achieve specific management outcomes e.g. effort reduction for part of the year, replenishment of scallop grounds and to reduce any potential social conflicts with other users (e.g. closure to daylight and weekend trawling) (Map 6.).
- 2. Modelled trawl effort data in grid cells approximately 1 km² in area from Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) position polling data (data are only available for 2002–2005):** These corrected and interpolated data with known non-fishing locations and times removed are presented as individual effort distributions (hours per cell per year) for each year in the 2002 to 2005 time series, (Map 7–10).
- 3. Data on effort and retained catch from the fishing logbooks and held in the DPI&F Commercial Fisheries Information System (CFISH) database (locations of maximum nightly catch estimated by fishers):** These data are distributions of total annual trawl effort (days per cell per year) (Map 11–15). Data for the 2002 to 2006 effort years are recorded by six minute grid cell or by 30 minute grid cell. These cells are equivalent to areas of approximately 11 km x 11 km and 55 km x 55 km (121 km² and 2916 km²) respectively.
- 4. Habitat information from the seabed in deepwater (mostly deeper than 15 m) from two projects:** Data from seagrass surveys in 1993 to 1999 (Coles *et al.* 2007; De’ath *et al.* 2007) are modelled from point source information; and data from the 2002 to 2004 seabed biodiversity project also modelled but collected using different methods (trawl, dredge and video) from the 1993 to 1999 data. Seagrass species distribution and biomass data are also available from various other DPI&F surveys as polygons (Coles *et al.* 2007) from 1985 to the present time. Modelled information from the 1993 to 1999 surveys is presented in grid cells of approximately 5.5 x 5.5 km. (Map 16).
- 5. Information on the impact of trawling on seagrass meadows (a major seabed habitat type within the ECOTF area), protected species and several by-product species:** Data include deepwater seagrass distribution, RAP closures protecting seagrass and estimated effort distribution in relation to seagrass distribution pre- and post- RAP, (Map 17 and 18); dugong information in grid cells of 2 km x 2 km (Map 19) and turtle information in 11 km x 11 km grid cells (Map 20); and by-product species distributions (Map 21 to 25). The distributions of catch weight per six minute grid cell per year of by-product species are presented with modelled VMS and CFISH effort distributions. Balmain bugs, barking crayfish (champagne lobsters), mantis shrimps, pinkies and pipefish were considered to have a higher risk to their sustainability than other trawl by-product species in the 2004 General Effort Review of the fishery (Kerrigan *et al.* 2004). The fishery was assessed to pose a lower risk to the sustainability of other trawl by-product species and as such these species have not been considered in this assessment.

Management of the fishery

The ECOTF has been limited entry since 1981 and effort has been restricted by the number of boats that can operate. The *Fisheries (East Coast Trawl) Management Plan 1999* was introduced to manage the fishery. This included a cap on effort at the 1996 level less 5%, tradable effort units and surrender provisions (as a condition of effort unit trading and boat replacement) to reduce effort over time. The number of vessels in the fishery and fishing effort has significantly declined due to these provisions (Hill 2006). In 2006, there were approximately 500 boats operating in the fishery for approximately 42,500 nights.

The fishery is also managed by a series of restrictions on boat length, net length and mesh size and a requirement for installation of Turtle Excluder Devices (TEDs) and at least one other bycatch reduction device in all nets.

Separate trawl fisheries are managed in the Torres Strait and in Moreton Bay. Some vessels in those fisheries also may operate in the ECOTF provided they meet the 20 m maximum length restriction and are endorsed with the appropriate T1 or T2 fishing symbols under Queensland fisheries legislation (Hill 2006).

Also used to manage the distribution of fishing effort is a mosaic of spatial closures to trawling including complex permanent closures in the GBRWHA and closures in the Great Sandy and other Queensland marine parks. Supporting the permanent spatial closures are seasonal closures aimed at managing issues related to target species biology. Other closures, including those such as port limits, may also restrict trawling in some coastal locations.

Present closure types and description

There are a large number of spatial closures in the ECOTF. Some involve large areas and influence the location and time of fishing effort but most are small in time and/or space and specifically targeted and likely to have only a minor impact on fishing effort. Closed areas are summarised in the *Fisheries (East Coast Trawl) Management Plan 1999*. Many of these areas are difficult to depict spatially at the scale of the whole Queensland east coast due to their complexity and small size. The types of closures recognised as being associated with the fishery are summarised below.

Spatial closures

- The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park through which protection of the GBRWHA is implemented has seven levels of zoning (*Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Zoning Plan 2003*). The least protective is General Use — the only zone in which trawling is allowed. General Use is depicted in light blue in Map 1 and is ~33% of the park area (117,000 km²) (Map 1–3). Other zones: Habitat Protection, Conservation, Park Buffer, Scientific Research, Marine National Park, and Preservation do not allow trawling (Map 1).
- Port Limits are declared around major port infrastructure and precludes trawling in most instances (Map 1). Generally these are shallow inshore areas; Townsville Harbour for example has an extensive exclusion zone that follows the main shipping channel. In total the area of these zones in Queensland is approximately 1600 km² and has only a small impact on how trawl effort is distributed.
- State Marine Parks complement the GBRWHA zonings but are mostly inshore where they have little impact on the ECOTF with the possible exception of the new Great Sandy Marine Park. There are additional zones (additional to those in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park) in the Queensland marine parks – Conservation and Mineral Resource Zones and Estuarine Conservation Zones. Apart from the extra zones State marine parks

match Commonwealth zones and protect shallow coastal waters and the internal (estuarine) marine waters of Queensland (Map 1 and Map 5).

- There are 73 Fish Habitat Areas declared in Queensland under the Queensland *Fisheries Act* 1994. This includes approximately 6500 km² along the east coast of Queensland (Map 1). All Fish Habitat Areas are inshore and are declared based on the perceived high value of the coastal habitat they encompass. Fish Habitat Areas do not preclude otter trawling and most are estuarine. Similarly there are Dugong Protected Areas which limit some forms of net fishing and are designed to protect the marine mammal *Dugong dugon* from accidental entrapment in nets but at this time do not preclude otter trawling. There are 14 of these zones encompassing 4650 km². All are inshore areas with seagrass meadows and little if any trawling would actually occur in these areas.

Major temporal closures

Seasonal closures are employed widely in the ECOTF to synchronise the fishery with times when the target species are of an optimal size to capture highest market value. The fishery is closed entirely north of 22°S from the 15th December to the 1st March and closed south of that from the 1st January to the 31st March (Map 6).

There are seasonal, rotating spatial closures in areas within Hervey Bay, at Bustard Head and near Yeppoon for scallop replenishment.

Other spatial and temporal closures

There are small seasonal and permanent strip closures in other areas deemed to be critical e.g. Wide Bay bar off Fraser Island, and off North Stradbroke Island to the Queensland – New South Wales border. These may be implemented for biological reasons e.g. closures to protect Grey Nurse sharks or to protect juvenile prawns from premature capture or to separate commercial and recreational fishing for example daylight or weekend closures. There are approximately 150 of these closures although many overlap port limits, marine parks and other closures. These closures are legislated through the *Fisheries (East Coast Trawl) Management Plan 1999* and Commonwealth and State marine park legislation.

Effort trends in the fishery

Effort in the fishery has been declining more or less constantly since a peak in numbers of just over 1400 vessels in the early 1980's. There are presently approximately 318 vessels recorded fishing in the GBRWHA and approximately 202 vessels operating in the area south of the GBRWHA (Figure 1). Catch has remained relatively constant with a slight downward trend since 1996 (Figure 2). Effort reduction results from three separate sources: a reduction in the area allowed for fishing particularly since 2004; a reduction in the number of vessel and fishing nights allowed; and a reduction in the actual number of boat nights fishing (possibly because of poor economic conditions in recent years). Boat nights in the GBRWHA have declined from 58,687 nights in 1990 to 26,865 in 2006 and from 22,080 nights in 1990 to 15,441 nights south of the GBRWHA in 2006 (Figure 3).




Figure 1. Number of trawlers licensed in each year (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data).




Figure 2. Annual prawn trawl catch (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data).




Figure 3. East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery number of days fished (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data).

Location and spatial extent of trawl effort

An overall large scale spatial constraint on the ECOTF is the long thin shape of the coastline and fishing area, ~ 2,500 km north to south but only 400 km from the coast to the outer trawl grounds at the widest point and much narrower in most locations. The effect of this is that the trawl fishing grounds also stretch in a long north south strip and effort is clumped in the east - west direction. On the outer reef slopes and east of Moreton Island this is accentuated by topography and the depth range favoured by the target prawn species. Trawling along a constant depth contour favours a north south trawling pattern. On the inner reef lagoon trawling follows the coast and inner edge of the coral reef string and with the exception of large bays also follows a north south pattern.

The clumped nature of the trawl fishing effort is evident from the GBRWHA VMS data that shows of the modelled VMS (1 km x 1 km) grid cells that are being trawled, only between 30% and 40% are fished for more than five hours in a year (Figure 4). Less than 0.5% of VMS grid cells were fished for more that 100 hours in 2005 (the last year that corrected VMS data are available).




Figure 4. Percentage of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area trawled within five effort density groups between 2002 and 2005 (grid cells are ~ 1 km²).

Comparing spatial distributions of effort from 2002 to 2006 from six minute grid cells recorded in the logbook data and modelled trawl effort derived from VMS grid cell data there is a reduction in fishing area at the margins of the fishery reflecting a gradual decrease in the number of boat days (Map 7–15).

Trawling north of Townsville is restricted almost entirely to a narrow coastal strip interrupted by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park zoning restrictions. South of Townsville effort continues in a coastal strip and a mid shelf strip south to Mackay. Between Rockhampton and Fraser Island there is a large mid shelf fishery and a king prawn fishery that follows the outer edge of the Swains reefs. South of Fraser Island the fishery mostly follows depth contours in a strip outside the large sand masses of Fraser and Moreton Islands (Map 7–15). A spatial representation of the changes in the area available for trawling within the GBRWHA resulting from the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park RAP is presented in Map 26. Effort re-distribution within the GBRWHA since the RAP closures were implemented is presented in Map 27.

Effort re-distribution south of the GBRWHA in the 2002 to 2005 period is presented in Map 28.

Changes to the ECOTF are noticeable in the GBRWHA where there was a downward trend in the area trawled from 2002–2005. There was a small decline in the 2004 year which resulted from an increase in the spatial trawl closures designated in the rezoning of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park (*Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Zoning Plan 2003*). However, many of the areas removed from the total area allowed for trawling by the rezoning were not trawled or not trawled regularly. This has resulted in the reduction in the potential area available for trawling not having a comparative impact on the area of the GBRWHA being trawled (Figure 5). The actual percentage trawled has been in slow decline as the number of boats has reduced and economic circumstances have focussed trawling in areas historically producing higher catch rates.




Figure 5. A comparison of the percentage of the area of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) where trawling is allowed and the actual percent trawled between 2002 and 2005.

If it is assumed that VMS grid cells trawled for 5 hours or more in a year are VMS grid cells that have been fished more than once in a year, (a single trawl shot rarely exceeds 2.5 hours, N Gribble, DPI&F pers. comm.) between 2002 and 2005 less than 10% of available grid cells (1 km² VMS grid cells) were visited by a trawl fishing boat more than once (Figure 6).

The distribution of fishing south of the GBRWHA is similar to that inside it, with trawling occurring on slightly less than 25% of the available area and trawling for more than five hours in a VMS grid cell occurring in approximately 10% of those grid cells. There is a very slight increase in fishing effort south of the GBRWHA from 2002 to 2005. (Figure 7 and 8). The Great Sandy Marine Park has increased the spatial trawl closures around Hervey Bay; we were not able to assess the impact of this recently declared Marine Park as the relevant digital boundary data sets were not finalized at the time this document was prepared.




Figure 6. A comparison of the percentage of area of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) where trawling is allowed, and the actual percent trawled more than once a year between 2002 and 2005.




Figure 7. A comparison of the percentage of the area from Hervey Bay south where trawling is allowed, and the actual percent trawled between 2002 and 2005.




Figure 8. A comparison of the percentage of the area from Hervey Bay south where trawling is allowed, and the actual percent trawled more than once a year between 2002 and 2005.

Spatial interaction between trawl effort, habitat and species of concern

Seagrass

Seagrass distribution on the ECOTF grounds in waters deeper than 15 metres has been modelled as part of the CRC Reef Research Centre (Coles *et al.* 2000). Almost all seagrass at this depth are from the Genus *Halophila*. Seagrasses occur down to approximately 60 m below mean sea level. Detailed maps and conceptual models of the distribution of seagrass for this region are available (Coles *et al.* 2007). The distribution of seagrasses is related to depth, light and sediment type and there is little evidence of seagrass distribution being affected by trawling (Coles *et al.* 2007; Pitcher *et al.* 2007) and trawlers generally avoid areas of seagrass to limit net clogging.

Prior to new zoning in the GBRWHA, trawling was permitted on ~ 82% of the identified deepwater seagrass meadows. This number reduced to 57% after July 2004. If the trawl effort estimated from VMS data are overlaid on the areas where seagrass meadows were estimated to have a greater than 50% probability of being present in 2002; 46.6% of seagrass meadows were in VMS grid cells that were trawled reducing to 36.3% in 2005 (Table 1). Over 60% of seabed with a high probability of supporting seagrass is not trawled.

The distribution of seagrass and trawling is presented in Map 16 , 17 and 18. The risk of trawling having an impact on deepwater seagrass habitats decreases as the number of times a meadow is trawled decreases. Around 60% of trawl events on deepwater seagrass occurred no more than once. Only ~ 14% of all deepwater seagrass habitats were trawled more than once in 2005. Most seagrass area has a very low probability of being trawled at all and this pattern is consistent between 2002 and 2003 (Figure 9).

Table 1. Deepwater seagrass habitats (total) trawled using effort modelled from Vessel Monitoring System data.

Year	% Seagrass trawled
2002	46.6
2003	43.2
2004	42.0
2005	36.3




Figure 9. Area of deepwater seagrass habitat trawled within five trawl probability groups between 2002 and 2005.

Extensive seagrass meadows extend south of the GBRWHA into Hervey Bay where they are excluded from trawling areas close to shore by the former Wongarra and Hervey Bay Marine Parks and current Great Sandy Marine Park. Seagrasses in Hervey Bay have been previously mapped and are monitored as part of the Seagrass-Watch project (www.seagrasswatch.org). The distribution of seagrass on the eastern coast of Fraser Island and the ocean coast of the ECOTF to the New South Wales border has not been investigated to our knowledge but exposure to ocean swells and depth would make it unlikely that extensive meadows open to trawling exist in this region.

Dugong

Areas of high conservation value for dugong are mapped over VMS data in Map 19 for years 2002 and 2005. Dugong data are modelled data from dugong aerial surveys conducted between 1985 and 2005 (Grech and Marsh 2007). In the GBRWHA south of Cairns most dugong populations are in shallow water and do not significantly overlap with high density trawl areas. North of Cairns and particularly in the Princess Charlotte Bay region dugong may feed offshore and are at least swimming across the trawl fishing grounds. Reports of dugong caught in trawl nets are extremely rare but some disturbance to feeding from the presence of vessels could occur.

The RAP rezoning has reduced the proportion of dugong habitat in the GBRWHA where trawling is permitted (Table 2). The area actually trawled within the dugongs range has also decreased and presently only 15% of high conservation dugong areas are exposed to trawling (Table 3).

Table 2. Proportion (%) of high, medium and low conservation value dugong habitats in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area with trawling allowed.

Conservation Value ^a	Total Area (km ²)	Pre- new zoning %	Post- new zoning %
High	2399	27	25
Medium	2175	42	31
Low	27490	56	40

^a as defined by Grech and Marsh 2007

Table 3. Proportion (%) of high, medium and low conservation value dugong habitats in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area trawled (Vessel Monitoring System) 2002–2005.

Conservation Value ^a	Total Area (km ²)	2002	2003	2004	2005
High	2399	20	19	18	15
Medium	2175	25	24	23	21
Low	27490	31	30	28	24

^a as defined by Grech and Marsh 2007

Sea turtles

Turtles are captured incidentally in trawl nets along most inshore waters of the Queensland coast with areas around the Whitsunday Islands and north of Princess Charlotte Bay having the highest catch (Robbins 2002) (Map 20). Fishing sites offshore are less likely to record turtle catches. The extent of trawling in areas identified by Robbins (2002) as having sea turtle catches has steadily declined for all levels of catch with the introduction of TEDs (Table 4 and 5). In 2006 only 14 turtles were recorded as being caught in the ECOTF and all were released alive.

Table 4. Proportion (%) of fisheries Vessel Monitoring System grid cells within six levels of turtle bycatch (catch-per-unit-effort) for all species (Robbins 2002) with trawling allowed before and after the implementation of Turtle Excluder Devices through the *Fisheries (East Coast Trawl) Management Plan 1999* (F(ECT)MP) and before and after Representative Areas Program (RAP) rezoning.

All turtles	Level of turtle catch-per-unit-effort					
	1 ^a	2 ^b	3 ^c	4 ^d	5 ^e	6 ^f
Total Area (km²)	6064	46789	32164	5747	11368	245570
Pre-F(ECT)MP	65	75	86	61	94	84
Pre-RAP	61	67	86	56	94	41
Post-RAP	46	51	65	36	71	24

^a > 0.14286 sea turtles caught per <7 days fished

^b 0.03333–0.14286 sea turtles caught per 7–30 days fished

^c 0.01111–0.03333 sea turtles caught per 30–90 days fished

^d 0.00549–0.01111 sea turtles caught per 90–180 days fished

^e 0.000001–0.00549 sea turtles caught per >180 days fished

^f No sea turtles caught

Table 5. Proportion (%) of fisheries grid cells within six levels of turtle bycatch (catch-per-unit-effort) for all species (Robbins 2002) with trawling conducted (Vessel Monitoring System data) between 2002–2005 in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.

Year	Level of turtle catch-per-unit-effort					
	1 ^a	2 ^b	3 ^c	4 ^d	5 ^e	6 ^f
2002	2063	15802	16527	1950	6346	21711
2003	1683	15300	14849	1659	6186	20153
2004	1635	14297	14547	1498	6332	20895
2005	1368	11894	11250	1241	5714	17907

^a > 0.14286 sea turtles caught per <7 days fished

^b 0.03333–0.14286 sea turtles caught per 7–30 days fished

^c 0.01111–0.03333 sea turtles caught per 30–90 days fished

^d 0.00549–0.01111 sea turtles caught per 90–180 days fished

^e 0.000001–0.00549 sea turtles caught per >180 days fished

^f No sea turtles caught

Balmain bug catches

Balmain bugs (*Ibacus* spp.) are regulated by-product species in the ECOTF subject to a ban on harvesting females and a minimum carapace width of 10 cm (*Fisheries (East Coast Trawl) Management Plan 1999*). Balmain bug catches have steadily increased (2007 catches are not complete) since 2002 (Figure 10). Catches are distributed widely throughout the fishing ground and cannot easily be spatially separated from areas of trawling (Map 21).




Figure 10. Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery catch of Balmain bugs (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: 2007 data are incomplete.

Mantis shrimp (*Squillaidea* spp) catches

Mantis shrimp catches recorded from the ECOTF are low — less than three tonnes per year — and catches have steadily declined since 2002 (2007 data are incomplete) (Figure 11). Catches are too small to represent spatially in a meaningful way (Map 22) other than to note that most catches recorded are from trawling north of Cairns and south of Cape Grenville. In possession limits (Hill 2006) ensure mantis shrimps are not targeted in the fishery and that retained catches remain small.




Figure 11. Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery mantis shrimp catches (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: 2007 data are incomplete.

Pinkies (threadfin bream – *Nemipterus*) catches

Very few catches of pinkies are recorded from the ECOTF with a peak catch of around 250 kilograms in 2004 (Figure 12). There are now in possession limits (Hill 2006) which restrict potential catches and reduce the capacity for targeting. As for mantis shrimp, the total catch of pinkies reported is too small to easily display spatially (Map 23). Reported catches are from trawling north of Townsville to just north of Princess Charlotte Bay.




Figure 12. Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery catches of pinkies (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: 2007 data are incomplete.

Pipefish catches

Pipefish (syngnathids) are reported in log records as unclassified, Duncker's pipefish (*Solegnathus dunckeri*) and pallid pipefish (*S. hardwickii*) and recorded as numbers retained rather than weight (Figure 13, 14 and 15). Numbers in the catch all three groups are few and declining (2007 data are incomplete) and are combined in the distribution map (Map 24). Pipefish are widely distributed on the fishing grounds between Cairns and Mackay and off Fraser Island. There are very few records north of Cairns.




Figure 13. Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery pipefish catches (unclassified) (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: 2007 data are incomplete.




Figure 14. Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery Duncker's pipefish catches (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: 2007 data are incomplete.




Figure 15. Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery pallid pipefish catches (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: 2007 data are incomplete.

Champagne lobster catches

Champagne lobster or barking crayfish (*Linuparus trigonus*) catches have declined to less than 10 tonnes a year since 2005 (2007 data are incomplete) (Figure 16). There is now an in possession limit (Hill 2006). Catches are concentrated in offshore fishing grounds around the Swains Reefs and on offshore fishing grounds south of Cairns (Map 25).




Figure 16. Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery champagne lobster catches (DPI&F CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: 2007 data are incomplete.

Seabed Biodiversity report

The Seabed Biodiversity Project Report was completed in July 2007 (Pitcher *et al.* 2007) (FRDC project 2003/021). Using data from comprehensive benthic faunal and floral mapping, it focused specifically on the development of sustainability indicators and risk assessment of the effects of trawling on the sustainability of trawl bycatch, benthic species, assemblages, and seabed habitats within the GBRWHA.

The report developed Ecological Risk Indicators on the basis of exposure to trawling in areas that are open to trawling, areas where trawl effort is present, and areas where the intensity of trawling is taken into account and those three approaches are replicated for biomass. The study uses a 0.01 degree grid cells (approximately 1 km²) and assumes trawling is conducted uniformly within a grid. Risk rating incorporates both vulnerability and the ability of species / habitats / communities to recover. It is not possible to include a full assessment of the implications of the report in relation to adequacy of trawl closures in this initial assessment but the key implications can be summarised:

- The report provides survey, transect and point data and interpolated distributions (referred to as maps in the report) for 850 seabed taxa / species.
- Estimates of the likely extent of the effects of past trawling activity shows trawling has had a negative effect on the biomass of only 4.5% of these species and a positive effect on 2.0%.
- More than 800 taxa / species had a very low proportion of their estimated overall biomass in the sampling area caught annually by trawling — 15 species had a higher relative risk when recovery capacity in addition to trawl exposure was included. There are 48 species listed in the project report which, because of the high levels of uncertainty in estimates and the limited knowledge of their life history biology, should be investigated further.
- Recent management interventions — the 2001 commercial trawl licence buyback and the 2004 RAP rezoning have arrested or reversed the bottom habitat deletion trends that had possibly been occurring.
- Of the species listed in the present report only nemipterids (*Nemipterus hexodon* and *N. peronii*) have a biomass that is greater than 50% in areas that could be trawled with 21% and 37% respectively in grid cells (approximately 1 km²) that have trawl effort. When effort levels are included *N. furcosus* is found in 25% of trawled grids with an effort percentage of 32%.
- Two nemipterid species, *N. peronii* and *N. furcosus* were at the higher end of catchability uncertainty limits for catch/mortality estimates.
- The species modelling process selected Trawl Effort Index as significant for only 6.5% of species — little more than would be expected by chance. This supports previous CRC Reef Research Centre results by Coles *et al.* (In Prep.) that found trawl effort was not a significant factor determining modelled predictions of seagrass distribution.
- Habitat components from video data were examined individually, particularly marine plants. Pinnate and ovoid seagrass had an exposure ranging from 24% to 15% respectively, crustose coralline algae was most exposed at 44% primarily off Gladstone. Filamentous blue-green algae were the most extensive and had an exposure of 25%. All other marine plants had an exposure 17% or less. Available evidence is that catchability of marine plants in trawls is low in the GBRWHA and that the exposure to trawling risk for marine plants is similarly low.

As the models used assume that a trawler trawls novel ground on each trawl pass on any night these results represent the greatest likely impact. As trawlers do at times go up and back on the same ground the amount of area and percentage of biomass of a species or assemblage that is exposed would be expected to be less than estimated here although impacts on the area actually trawled could be higher.

Discussion

The spatial closures in place in the ECOTF are extremely complex with a mixture of Commonwealth and Queensland legislation, covering areas within and outside marine parks and World Heritage Areas. There are large spatial closures implemented to protect proportions of bioregions, and a myriad of overlapping small spatial closures, some permanent, some temporary and some seasonal. Overlying this is a large area temporal closure in the north and south of the fishery closing the entire fishery for three months each year. Many pages of the *Fisheries (East Coast Trawl) Management Plan 1999* are devoted to explaining this complex closure system. The rationale generally is for conservation of fish habitat in the GBRWHA; for limits targeting juveniles of commercial species; for protecting fisheries resources as in the scallop closures; or for protecting a vulnerable species, such as the Grey Nurse Shark closures.

This complexity extends south of the GBRWHA into Hervey Bay becoming less so in the offshore king prawn fishery between Hervey Bay and the Queensland – New South Wales border.

It is not possible to present this state wide complex system in an understandable way at a fishery scale in the form of a map and can only be viewed effectively in a GIS layer format.

Because of the complexity it is difficult to make general comments about spatial arrangements without specifying an area but some statements can be made:

1. The state wide three month seasonal closures implemented to prevent capture of juvenile prawns and to allow for seasonal refits (Map 6) provides an opportunity for recovery of bottom communities and for a reduction in any damage to the bottom that has occurred.
2. Fishing effort is highly clumped in space. Many areas are not fished or fished very little. At the scale of the entire ECOTF grounds, the reduction in the area permitted to be fished that occurred in the RAP rezoning had little discernable impact on the actual amount or pattern of fishing because of this factor (Map 7–10). If the fishing effort was evenly spread, then reducing the area allowed to be fished would provide for a reduced probability of impact on benthic habitats. However with a highly clumped fishery such as the ECOTF, removing areas from the fishery may either have little effect on reducing impact on the bottom if the area chosen was not regularly trawled or severely effects the efficiency of the fishery by removing heavily fished areas possibly without providing any greater protection for seabed habitats and benthic species identified as important to protect.
3. Trawling intensity is extremely low with few areas trawled more than a couple of times a year. VMS grid cells roughly equate to a kilometre square. Seventy percent of VMS grid cells have less than five hours trawling annually (Map 7–10).
4. The number of grid cells (5.5 km^2) identified by the CRC Reef deepwater seagrass mapping research project (Coles *et al.* 2000) with greater than a probability of 50% likelihood of having seagrass that are trawled has reduced from 47% to 36% as a result of the RAP zoning changes (Table 1). This 36% is almost certainly an overestimate due to spatial scale issues as trawlers are likely to be operating near seagrass meadows not on them. This could only be confirmed by ground truthing actual VMS polled trawl locations or by surveys at a finer spatial scale.
5. While there are fewer closed areas in the king prawn fishery south of the northern tip of Fraser Island the fishery self organises into north-south inshore and offshore strings that probably reflects depth contours, prawn aggregations and the location during the season of an area with suitable prawns and suitable bottom type. This pattern is likely to be relatively stable as comparisons between years from 2002 to 2005 show little change at a fishery wide scale (Map 7–10).

6. The continued decline in effort in the fishery as a result of structural adjustment, increasing variable costs and business decisions of fishers is likely to continue a trend to fish only in the most productive areas providing effective protection to most areas of the fishing grounds without any formal legislative or management intervention.
7. The known impacts on turtles and dugong from trawling have been minimised with gear modifications, such as the introduction of TEDs or due to the limited spatial coincidence over most of the fishery as in the case of trawl effort location and dugong presence.
8. Of the regulated by-product species only Balmain bugs are caught and retained in large numbers. For all the species in this report where numbers are sufficient to map, catches are distributed widely across fishing grounds such that a change in placement of closures would not be able to successfully separate target species catching areas from those where regulated by-product species are distributed.

The spatial data that are available for analysis are difficult to compare. They are collected for different purposes (catch verification and catch location data, supporting stock assessment models, or resolution of biophysical patterning processes) and in different spatial units. For a fine scale spatial assessment, the pre-2001 CFISH 30 minute catch data are of limited value. The six minute grid data are also likely to overestimate the actual area from which the catch is taken (Map 11–15). The VMS data are resolved to approximately 1 km² degree grid cells but the actual position recording from hourly polls or polls taken four times a day are much coarser than this. Seabed Biodiversity and seagrass data are resolved to grid cells of just over one kilometre square. Dugong spatial data are in two square kilometre grid cells. A standardised cell/grid/site system for all spatial fisheries and seabed habitat data would make spatial analysis more precise.

The Innovative Stock Assessment / VMS Effort Mapping project (Good *et al.* 2007) experienced difficulties due to data deficiencies related to the assignment of activities and catch to vessel tracks. Novel technological solutions may diminish the need for estimation procedures that define vessel activity by providing direct recording of data that accurately characterises vessel activity. Technological solutions could also be used to collect high resolution catch and effort data that may empirically validate the low resolution commercial data that are currently available.

All the data sets available have location or estimation errors. The catch data in fishing logbooks is for catch collected at a location within a relatively large grid 30 minute or six minute grids of latitude or longitude. The seagrass distribution data from the CRC Reef Research Centre project and the Seabed Biodiversity Project data are not true maps but probability distributions interpolated from multiple empirical point source data and other modelled data. The trawl VMS location data are relatively unsophisticated — the transponder providing only a position with no speed, activity or direction of travel information. Speed, activity and direction are inferred by comparing sequential poll locations. VMS data have been filtered and modelled (Good *et al.* 2007) but it is likely that errors remain. At a fishery scale these errors are not likely to affect decision making but if the biological processes that need protecting occur at small scales — less than a kilometre — then the spatial resolution of the data presently available is inappropriate.

These location and estimation errors are the most likely explanation for catch being recorded in sites where VMS effort is not. This is most obvious in maps such as for champagne lobster (Map 25 A,B,C) where some of the higher catches off the central Queensland coast are recorded from locations (A) at some distance from recorded effort (B and C).

Improved spatial resolution of catch information would result from shortened trawl shot durations, coupled with shot by shot catch and location recording. However, such a change would interfere with normal fishing operations and cannot be practically implemented for a commercial fishing fleet. A more practical solution may be to collect high resolution catch and effort data and possibly seabed habitat data specifically to validate the precision of low resolution data collection and modelling. Both the Seabed Biodiversity Project (Pitcher *et al.* 2007) and the VMS Project (Good *et al.* 2007) recommend some ongoing monitoring or validation and this task could be undertaken by the DPI&F Assessment and Monitoring, Long Term Monitoring Program and Fisheries Observer groups.

While the deficiencies in the data may complicate scientific interpretation they are not as important at the spatial scale of fisheries management. Improving the data available is unlikely to further assist management decisions at a scale that it is practical to manage. The ECOTF is highly regulated and limited in where it can or does fish. Of the species of concern exposed to trawling identified in the Seabed Biodiversity report only two species of pinkies are listed and there are limits on harvesting and targeting of these species. Exposure of other species has already been reduced by the reductions in effort and permitted fishing locations already implemented and by limits on harvesting and targeting.

DPI&F implemented a Fishery Observer program in 2004 which over time will build a higher resolution picture of the distribution of retained and non-retained catch in the ECOTF. This program records a Global Positioning System location for the start and finish of each trawl shot and records species composition and relative abundance for retained species and bycatch species from subsamples. Within the limits of commercial fishing operations, high and low effort trawl sites are sampled. The observer program is also essential for validating self reported logbook records of Species of Conservation Interest (protected species). It would also be of ecological and fisheries assessment value to subsample the seabed biome from these actual trawl shot locations to validate the actual exposure of bottom types to trawling as predicted from the Great Barrier Reef Seabed Biodiversity Project.

Future work plans

This is a preliminary report summarising the current status of the adequacy of trawl closures. If required future plans could include:

- a more comprehensive discussion and incorporation of the Great Barrier Reef Seabed Biodiversity project results and their implications for trawl fishery management;
- Supporting the development of a Seabed Biodiversity assessment in Queensland waters south of the GBRWHA; and
- Analysis of the higher spatial resolution observer program species distribution and relative abundance data as that data set is populated over the next few years.


Conclusions

- There is an extensive and complex system of spatial closures in the GBRWHA. Through the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park RAP process in 2004, significant reductions in available fishing area have recently occurred.
- Existing information on seabed biodiversity, seagrass distribution and exposure to trawling of turtle and dugong populations suggests the recent spatial closures, reduction in effort and fishing regulations have reduced the impact of trawling and there is no evidence supporting the need for expanded or new spatial closures in the GBRWHA.
- Similar to the GBRWHA, the fishery to the south operates on only a small fraction (less than 10%) of the permitted area. Complex spatial and temporal closures are in place and it would be difficult to support a case for additional closures.
- At the scale of the data available it is not possible to clearly define locations where “hot spots” of regulated species and species of concern occur within trawl grounds and to implement spatial closures on a finer scale. Most of these species appear to be widely spread across the fishing ground.
- The data sets available have been collected at different scales and have different precisions and accuracies making them hard to reconcile at all but the coarsest scale. This is evident when comparing recorded catch and recorded effort locations.
- Observers and seabed assessment could provide a better understanding of impacts at a finer spatial scale particularly south of the Great Barrier Reef in the future.


References

- Coles, R.G., Lee Long, W.J., McKenzie, L.J., Roelofs, A.J., and De'ath, G. (2000). Stratification of seagrasses in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area, Northeastern Australia, and the implications for management. *Biologia Marina Mediterranea* 7(2), 345-348.
- Coles, R.G., McKenzie, L.J., Rasheed, M.A., Mellors, J.E., Taylor, H.A., Dew, K.R., McKenna, S.A., Sankey, T.L., Carter, A.B., and Grech, A. (2007). Status and Trends of Seagrass Habitats in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area. Report to the Marine and Tropical Sciences Research Facility. Reef and Rainforest Research Centre Limited, Cairns, Australia.
- Coles R.G. McKenzie, L., De'ath, G., Roelofs, A., and Lee Long, W. (In Prep.). Modelling factors that influence the spatial distribution of deepwater seagrass in the inter reef lagoon of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area, northern Australia. *Marine Ecology progress series*.
- De'ath, G., Coles, R., McKenzie, L., and Pitcher, R., (2007). Spatial Distribution and Temporal Change in Distributions of Deep water Seagrasses in the Great Barrier Reef Region. Final report to The Reef and Rainforest Research Centre, June 2007, Cairns, Australia.
- DPI&F (2006) Annual Status Report – East Coast Trawl Fishery. Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Brisbane, Australia.
- Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. Act No 91 of 1999, <http://www.comlaw.gov.au/>, Commonwealth of Australia.
- Fisheries (East Coast Trawl) Management Plan 1999*. Subordinate legislation of the Fisheries Act 1994, <http://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/>, Office of the Queensland Parliamentary Council.
- Good, N., Peel, D., Tanimoto, M., Officer, R., and Gribble, N.A. (2007). Innovative stock assessment and effort mapping using VMS and electronic logbooks. Final report on FRDC project 2002/056. Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Brisbane, Australia.
- Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Zoning Plan 2003*. Under sub-section 32 (11) of the *Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Act 1975*, <http://www.comlaw.gov.au/>, Commonwealth of Australia.
- Grech, A., and Marsh, H. (2007). Prioritising areas for dugong conservation in a marine protected area using a spatially explicit population model. *Applied GIS* 3(2), 1-14.
- Hill, F. (2006). Annual Status Report East Coast Trawl Fishery Queensland Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Brisbane, Australia.
- Kerrigan, B., Gaddes, S., and Norris, W. (eds.) (2004). Review of the sustainability of fishing effort in the Queensland East Coast Trawl Fishery. September 2004. Queensland Government Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Brisbane, Australia.
- Pitcher, C.R., Poiner, I.R., Hill, B.J., and Burrridge, C.Y. (2000). Implications of the Effects of Trawling on Sessile Megazoobenthos on a Tropical Shelf in North eastern Australia. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 57(5), 1359-68.


- Pitcher, C.R., Doherty, P., Arnold, P., Hooper, J., Gribble, N., Bartlett, C., Browne, M., Campbell, N., Cannard, T., Cappo, M., Carini, G., Chalmers, S., Cheers, S., Chetwynd, D., Colefax, A., Coles, R., Cook, S., Davie, P., De'ath, G., Devereux, D., Done, B., Donovan, T., Ehrke, B., Ellis, N., Ericson, G., Fellegara, I., Forcey, K., Furey, M., Gledhill, D., Good, N., Gordon, S., Haywood, M., Jacobsen, I., Johnson, J., Jones, M., Kinninmoth, S., Kistle, S., Last, P., Leite, A., Marks, S., McLeod, I., Oczkowicz, S., Rose, C., Seabright, D., Sheils, J., Sherlock, M., Skelton, P., Smith, D., Smith, G., Speare, P., Stowar, M., Strickland, C., Sutcliffe, P., Van der Geest, C., Venables, W., Walsh, C., Wassenberg, T., Welna, A., and Yearsley, G. (2007). Seabed Biodiversity on the Continental Shelf of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area. AIMS/CSIRO/QM/DPI CRC Reef Research Task Final Report. CSIRO Division of Marine and Atmospheric Research, Cleveland, Australia.
- Robins, J. B. (2002). A scientific basis for a comprehensive approach to managing sea turtle by-catch: The Queensland East Coast as a case study. PhD Thesis, James Cook University, Townsville.




Map 1. State and Commonwealth Marine Parks, Fish Habitat Areas and port limits. New zones for the Great Sandy Marine Park are not included – see Map 5. Note: ECOTF = East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery.



Map 2. Spatial trawl closures in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) before Representative Areas Program (introduced July 2004).




Map 3. Spatial trawl closures in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) after Representative Areas Program (introduced July 2004).



Map 4. Spatial trawl closures in South East Queensland. Zones for the Great Sandy Marine Park are not included – see Map 5 for proposed zones. Note: ECOTF = East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery.

Map 5. Great Sandy Marine Park Zones (implemented August 2006) (Environment Protection Agency, Queensland)



Great Sandy Marine Park - Zones

ZONE NO.	DESCRIPTION
MNP10	70 25°13.000'S 152°53.200'E
MNP11	71 25°09.480'S 152°52.000'E
MNP12	72 25°07.000'S 152°52.000'E
MNP13	73 25°07.000'S 152°56.000'E
MNP14	74 25°13.761'S 152°53.200'E
MNP15	75 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP16	76 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP17	77 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP18	78 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP19	79 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP20	80 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP21	81 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP22	82 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP23	83 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP24	84 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP25	85 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP26	86 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP27	87 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP28	88 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP29	89 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP30	90 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP31	91 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP32	92 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP33	93 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP34	94 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP35	95 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP36	96 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP37	97 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP38	98 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP39	99 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP40	100 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP41	101 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP42	102 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP43	103 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP44	104 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP45	105 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP46	106 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP47	107 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP48	108 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP49	109 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP50	110 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP51	111 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP52	112 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP53	113 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP54	114 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP55	115 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP56	116 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP57	117 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP58	118 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP59	119 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP60	120 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP61	121 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP62	122 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP63	123 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP64	124 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP65	125 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP66	126 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP67	127 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP68	128 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP69	129 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP70	130 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP71	131 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP72	132 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP73	133 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP74	134 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP75	135 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP76	136 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP77	137 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP78	138 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP79	139 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP80	140 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP81	141 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP82	142 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP83	143 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP84	144 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP85	145 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP86	146 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP87	147 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP88	148 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP89	149 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP90	150 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP91	151 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP92	152 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP93	153 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP94	154 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP95	155 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP96	156 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP97	157 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP98	158 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP99	159 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP100	160 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP101	161 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP102	162 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP103	163 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP104	164 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP105	165 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP106	166 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP107	167 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP108	168 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP109	169 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP110	170 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP111	171 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP112	172 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP113	173 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP114	174 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP115	175 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP116	176 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E
MNP117	177 25°13.761'S 152°56.000'E

DISCLAIMER

Whilst every care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information provided on this map, the Agency makes no representations or warranties about its accuracy, reliability, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose.

The Environmental Protection Agency disclaims all responsibility and all liability (including without limitation, liability in negligence) for all expenses, damages and costs which might be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate or incomplete in any way and for any reason.

Produced by the Environmental Planning Strategies Branch, Planning Division, Queensland Environmental Protection Agency
November 2006

FURTHER INFORMATION

EPA Customer Service Centre
Ground Floor, 160 Ann Street, Brisbane
PO Box 15156, City East QLD 4002
Ph: (07) 3227 8185
Email: cs@epa.qld.gov.au
Open 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday, except public holidays and Christmas to New Year

OPWS Maryborough
Cnr Alice and Lennox Streets, Maryborough
PO Box 101, Maryborough QLD 4650
Ph: (07) 4121 1800 Fax: (07) 4121 1650
Open 9:00am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday, except public holidays and Christmas to New Year

Visit us online at www.epa.qld.gov.au

LEGEND

- Marine National Park Zone (MNP)
- Buffer Zone (BZ)
- Conservation Park Zone (CP)
- Habitat Protection Zone (HP)
- General Use Zone (GU)
- Great Sandy Area
- Towns
- Points


Queensland Government
Environmental Protection Agency
Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service
© Copyright Queensland Environmental Protection Agency 2006

GDA
All data incorporated into this product has been georeferenced to the Geospatial Datum of Australia (GDA) in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Protection Agency.


1:300,000

0 5 10 15 20 Kilometres


WARNING:
THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR MARINE NAVIGATION.
All users should refer to navigational information available from comprehensive hydrographical charts.




Map 6. Seasonal closures affecting the Queensland East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery.




Map 7. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2002 (Vessel Monitoring System Data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 8. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2003 (Vessel Monitoring System Data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 9. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2004 (Vessel Monitoring System Data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 10. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2005 (Vessel Monitoring System Data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 11. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2002 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 12. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2003 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 13. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2004 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 14. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2005 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 15. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery in 2006 (CFISH commercial logbook data). Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 16. Probability of deepwater (>15 m) seagrass in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 17. Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) deepwater (> 15 m) seagrass habitats where trawling was permitted A. pre- (2002 Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data) and B. post- (2005 VMS data) Representative Area Program.




Map 18. Great Barrier Reef deepwater (> 15 m) seagrass habitats where trawling was conducted A. pre- (2002 Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data) and B. post- (2005 VMS data) Representative Area Program.




Map 19. Dugong habitats where trawling was conducted A. pre- (2002 Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data) and B. post- (2005 VMS data) Representative Area Program.




Map 20. Observed sea turtle catch-per-unit-effort (Robins 2002) where trawling was conducted A. pre- (2002 Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data) and B. post- (2005 VMS data) Representative Area Program.




Map 21. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for Balmain bugs A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 22. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for mantis shrimp A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 23. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for pinkies A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 24. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for Pipefish (syngnathids) A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.




Map 25. Fishing effort in the East Coast Otter Trawl Fishery 2005 for champagne lobsters A. CFISH commercial logbook data B. Vessel Monitoring System data C. Three levels of catch (CFISH commercial logbook data) Note: GBRWHA = Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area.



Map 26. Spatial Closure of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area (GBRWHA) before and after the Representative Areas Program (RAP).



Map 27. Change in number of hours trawled (Vessel Monitoring System data) in the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area 2002–2005.



Map 28. Change in number of hours trawled (Vessel Monitoring System data) in South East Queensland 2002–2005.